The intradiscal failure pressure on porcine lumbar intervertebral discs: an experimental approach
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1 Introduction

The intervertebral disc (IVD) is a complex and inhomogeneous structure composed by an inner gel-like core – the Nucleus Pulposus (NP) surrounded by a layered structure, the Annulus Fibrosus (AF). These structures are limited at the top and bottom by the Cartilaginous Endplate (CEP). The IVD allows successful load-bearing movements due to a synergetic effect of all components. The loads applied on the disc during its normal daily activities are responsible for variations of the internal disc pressure in the NP (Schechtman et al., 2006).

The internal disc pressure or intradiscal pressure (IDP) can be defined as the hydrostatic pressure presented by the NP of an healthy IVD (Claus et al., 2008). The IDP plays a key role on the IVD’s ability to withstand the physiological loads (Steffen et al., 1998), being an important parameter to understand the spinal on the disc degeneration. In fact, the IDP data has been essential for prevent the spinal complaints by forming a basis for clinical advice to promote the correct sitting postures. The measurements of IDP also help to clarify the effect of the external loads on the IVD behavior (Claus et al., 2008) and to recognize the mechanism of IDP drop in disc degeneration. In addition, these data form the basis for physiotherapy and rehabilitation programs (Wilke et al., 1999).

From a biomechanical point of view, the IDP is influenced by the axial loads acting on spine (Sato et al., 1999). An increase in the compressive load applied to healthy discs is “converted” into IDP (Schechtman et al., 2006). As the NP can be considered incompressible (Castro et al., 2014), the AF bulges outwardly due to the stretch of annular fibres (Van der Veen et al., 2008) which, together with osmotic phenomenon, promotes a loss in both IVD height and volume.

The importance of IDP is reinforced due to difficult on the assessment of the disc strengthen properties (Schechtman et al., 2006). Although a simple axial compressive overload could not induce damage in an healthy disc, some movements such as compression combined with hyperflexion might generate an IDP beyond what the disc could withstand, promoting several injuries (Nachemson and Elfström, 1970; Schechtman et al., 2006). Previous studies had demonstrated that, before occurring any disc disruption, the com-
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pressive overloading provokes the vertebral endplate damage and collapse (Dolan et al., 2013; Schechtman et al., 2006). Thus, the study of the IDP is a subject of deep interest in order to determine its contribution for IVD injury.

The determination of the magnitude of failure IDP is also essential as a potential parameter for the evaluation of the mechanisms that promote the weakening and the disruption of the annular fibers (Iencean, 2000). Once combined with the traditional provocative discography, the IDP monitoring represents an important way to determine the clinical significance internal disc disruption (Menkowitz et al., 2005).

Few studies include the determination of the failure pressure of the IVDs (Iencean, 2000; Menkowitz et al., 2005; Schechtman et al., 2006; Veres et al., 2010). Schechtman et al. (2006) investigated the intrinsic failure strength of the intact bovine caudal disc using an hydraulic inflation actuator. A colored hydrogel was injected into NP under monitored pressure. It was found a mean hydrostatic failure pressure of 18 ± 3 MPa. This method allowed understanding the alterations of the intrinsic disc strength associated with prior loading history or degeneration. However, it does not give information about the microstructural behavior of inner annular fibers after the inflation. Later, Veres et al. (2010) used the same technique performed by Schechtman et al. (2006) to investigate the role of high IDP in the disruption of the annular fibers of the ovine lumbar IVDs. This team included the analysis of the AF damage after pressure insertion by a microstructural investigation. It was found a mean failure pressure of 14.1 ± 3.9. It was also reported that posterior annular region is more susceptible to disruption than the other disc regions, due to its inability to distribute hydrostatic pressures circumferentially.

However, other studies showed that the IVD’s injuries could be induced at lower IDPs. Iencean (2000) developed an experimental device for determine the rupture IDP of lumbar intervertebral discs, consisting of a source of pressure, connected to a tube introduced into the IVD through a tunnel drilled in the body of the subjacent vertebra by CEP access. The results revealed that the rupture was reached for IDP ranged from 0.75 to 1.3 MPa for neutral posture and a maximum rupture IDP in anterior flexion of 1.2 MPa. Later, using a 25G needle for the insertion of pressure on cervical discs, Menkowitz et al. (2005), reported a mean intradiscal rupture pressure of 0.28 MPa (range 0.1–1.18 MPa). Both studies have demonstrated that the rupture of human cervical and porcine lumbar annular fibers could occur for IDPs within the physiological range.

Therefore, the objective of the present work is to bring an additional insight about the magnitude of IDP that leads to AF disruption. To achieve this goal, the tests were performed using a hydraulic cylinder that inflates the IVD with glycerin, while a porcine lumbar disc is compressed. The pressure was monitored by a digital manometer and the maximum point of pressure was considered as the rupture point. This inflation method, combined with a pre-defined compression, allows not only inducing a pure hydrostatic loading due to glycerin insertion, but also producing a hydrostatic component and an environment in which the disruption of the disc in-vivo could occur.

2 The materials and methods

2.1 Motion segment collection and preservation

After being collected, the lumbar spines from pigs with 18 months old were immediately sectioned into motion segments (MS) visible in the Fig. 1 (Araújo et al., 2014; Campbell-Kyureghyan et al., 2011). Posteriorly, the MS were sealed in plastic bags and frozen at −20°C, until the day prior to mechanical testing, minimizing the tissue dehydration. This procedure was adopted since dead and frozen storage presents a negligible effect in mechanical properties of the spine (Adams et al., 1996). Before start any mechanical test, samples were hydrated with 12 h with phosphate buffer saline solution in order to prevent segment desiccation.

2.2 Pressurization configuration used to determine the failure intradiscal pressure

The schematic representation of the apparatus for the induce-ment of internal disc pressure is presented in Fig. 2.

The pressure is inserted in the inner disc region using a hydraulic cylinder. The pressure generating apparatus consists of a hydraulic cylinder, with a coupled lever that allows controlling the pressure exerted on the system. The injected pressure is assessed by a digital manometer incorporated in the system – the electronic pressure sensor PP7553, from IFM®. This manometer is connected with the LineRecorder® software that allowed registering the pressure acting in the IVD as function of time. The principle of function of each failure pressure test is simple. First, all system was filled with glycerin, which is inserted in the system by the pressure exerted by the hydraulic cylinder. The glycerin was selected as testing fluid since it presents relative higher density and viscosity than water (1.261 g cm−3 and 1499 cp at 20°C, respectively). To ensure that the entire system was filled with the liquid, and so, the value of failure pressure was not affected by air bubbles in the tubes, a “bleeding” tap was included in the stainless steel basis (Fig. 2). The procedure for air bubbles removal was simple: after the placement of the motion segment on the system, the tap is opened and the glycerin is forced to enter into the system by the suction effect promoted by the lever movement. Then, the fluid was poured by the tap until ensuring that the system presents no air bubbles. Finally, the tap is closed and the system is ready to be submitted to test.
2.3 Motion segment attachment

The vertebral bone is a highly porous structure, in which the fluids easily outflow from its inner region to the outer one (Bronner et al., 2010), being extremely hard to tighten a screw in it. To effectively overcome this obstacle, a 9 mm diameter and 2 mm height hole was drilled in the top vertebra hole of the MS, in order to fill it with an epoxy resin with fast curing. Consequently, a 4 mm pilot hole was carefully drilled longitudinally through the resin and vertebrae until a sudden change in the structure resistance. This change of resistance indicates the point of contact between CEP and the NP.

The MS was then attached to pressure apparatus, in case to a homemade cylindrical stainless steel bottom plate, by a self-tapping steel screw (Fig. 3). The length of the self-tapping screw was 20 mm, presenting two threads: a section of 10 mm height and 7 mm diameter drywall screw thread to a drill in the vertebra and a region of 10 mm height and 5 mm diameter to attach to the stainless steel plate. This screw also presents a drilled hollow along its entire length, with an internal bore of 1.5 mm diameter that allows the fluid passage. Then, the screw was tightened until reaching the contact point between CEP and the NP. An O-Ring was placed on a cylindrical stainless steel bottom plate (around the self-tapping screw), in order to prevent fluid leakage. The compressive loading was exerted in the top of the other vertebral body. The MS samples were carefully aligned according a pre-defined system of axis, using spherical axial bearing system. This alignment ensures that all discs are placing in the
same position and compressed along the same plane, avoiding errors associated to misplacement of the samples.

The preliminary trials have failed on containing the insertion of glycerin in the nuclear cavity. In fact, during the initial tests, using only fast curing resin as interface between the screw and the MS, the fluid flowed out from the drilled vertebrae motion segment, by the screw insertion. Six motion segments were used during preliminary trials for testing the failure IDP. Then, the approach was improved by using a thin film of silicone in the interface vertebrae-stainless steel base.

2.4 Experimental procedure

A compressive axial displacement of 1 mm was imposed in the top of MS in order to either avoid the longitudinal expansion of the IVD during the pressurization test and to simulate the in-vivo confined conditions (Schechtman et al., 2006). After impose this displacement, the MS is pressurized by the descendent movement of the lever. These samples were pressurized during a period of approximately 10 s, which represents the maximum time that all samples needed to reach failure after the application of an external pressure source. During this period, the Line Recorder® software allowed to monitored and save the values of IDP as function of time. Ten motion segments were used for the monitoring of the failure IDP.

This study had neglected the effect of the pressure drag on the walls during the fluid passage in both tubes and screw. Thus, it is assumed that the pressure read on the pressure sensor corresponds to the real inflation pressure of the IVD.

After all tests, each MS was sectioned transversally in the IVD region, in order to assess if it was pressurized in the nuclear region. The segmented disc was photographed and the final area of each IVD determined using the image processor Image Pro Plus 4.6®.

3 Results

3.1 Visual inspection of the pressurized motion segment

The images were taken to assess the functionality of pressurizing system and, in addition, to validate the results. Six specimens were pressurized in the NP region, which is visible in Fig. 4. Thus, six motion segments were considered for further analysis.

In this study only tests revealing a complete containing of the IVD inflation, where no leakage or liquid tear in the vertebral body, were considered. Three specimens were discarded as it was not detected a signal of external NP pressurization. Leakage in the tubes was also found in one sample.

The average disc area and height were 917.2 ± 107.0 mm² and 5.97 ± 0.63 mm, respectively.

3.2 Failure pressure in the motion segment

The criteria of failure in the MS structure, due to the insertion of IDP using an external source, comprises two evident phenomena: (1) the flood of the glycerin from any motion segment region, with exception for the top region of both vertebral bodies, and (2) a significant drop in the pressure detected by the digital manometer. A representative example of the curve of a failure IDP curve as function of time is depicted in Fig. 5.

The values of failure IDP together with the description of the failure in the specimen after visual inspection of the six motion segments that matched the criteria for failure are described in Table 1. In all the cases a substantial drop of pres-
Pressure was visible after reaching the maximum value of IDP that corresponds to the failure pressure.

Several events can be identified during the process of MS failure due to the insertion of an external pressure source. In all discs, an immediate glycerine leakage was visible after reaching the maximum value of pressure. Moreover, there was not possible to identify a pattern between the IDP failure and the place of glycerine outflow, being visible a wide range of rupture regions in the motion segment instead.

On Fig. 6 diverse examples of MS failure are exposed. The images document the different type of rupture occurring in each MS submitted to failure IDP values.

4 Discussion

Despite the panoply of mechanical tests intending to elucidate the contribution of loads to MS collapse, the magnitude of IDP that led to the MS rupture remains still unclear. The measurement of the internal pressure that leads to disc rupture is important not only to understand the mechanisms of IVD failure but also for the design of new implants for NP replacement. In fact, the fiber orientation of the AF is able to withstand the hoop stresses generated hydrostatic pressure in the healthy conditions (Inoue and Espinoza Orías, 2011). When the NP is removed, the outer region of the AF continues to bulge outward during the application of axial loading; conversely, the inner region bulges toward the center of the IVD (Goins et al., 2005; Meakin and Hukins, 2000). Thus, these implants should be able to exert a prescribed pressure on the inner AF walls and this pressure should be able to keep the biomechanical characteristics of the remaining disc, avoiding the disc degeneration.

Based on the method developed by Schechtman et al. (2006) to measure the failure strength on bovine caudal disc, this work determined the failure strength of the porcine MSs under an imposed IDP, using the a cartilaginous endplate access.

The definition of failure of the present work contemplates the flood of the glycerin from any region motion segment together with a significant drop in the pressure detected by the digital manometer. This consideration arises from the fact that, when a failure pressure is imposed in a MS, a chaotic effect is detectable in the whole MS structure. No localized region was detected or identified as a typical region of disc rupture after the insertion of an external pressure, indicating that there was a redistribution of the IDP in the inner region of the multilayered AF, i.e. in the contact zone between NP and AF. Thus, the collapse of the IVD structure is not a local but a generalized event.

Concerning to quantitative results, this study reports a mean pressure failure of 0.62 ± 0.08 MPa for lumbar porcine samples. The comparison between these results with the failure pressure of the annular fibers reported in previous studies for several MS models (Table 2) reveals that the values documented on this report are appreciably lower than the more recent studies, performed by Schechtman et al. (2006) and Veres et al. (2010). In fact, several studies reported the magnitude of the rupture values obtained in this study as within the normal range of physiological IDP for human samples (Claus et al., 2008; Dennison et al., 2008; Sato et al., 1999; Wilke et al., 1999).

Schechtman et al. (2006) investigated the intrinsic failure strength of the intact bovine caudal disc under inflation, injecting a colored gel with a hydraulic actuator: the mean hy-
Two motion segments failed in this manner. Severe leakage around the screw region in the vertebrae (bottom vertebrae) and in the annular region. Slight leakage around the spinal cord (fluid outflowing from the spinal cord channel). One motion segment failed in this manner.

Moderate leakage around the screw region in the vertebrae (bottom vertebrae). Two motion segments failed in this manner.

Little tears formed in the top and bottom parts of annular region (CEP region). One motion segment failed in this manner.

Figure 6. Representative images of different configurations of failure in MSs, during the inflation procedure.

Table 2. Recent data about failure pressure data for different IVD models.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Models</th>
<th>Mean failure pressure (MPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Iencean</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Lumbar human</td>
<td>0.75 to 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Menkowitz</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Cervical human</td>
<td>0.28 (min–max: 0.1–1.18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schetchman</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Caudal bovine</td>
<td>18 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veres</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Lumbar ovine</td>
<td>14.1 ± 3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This study</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Lumbar porcine</td>
<td>0.62 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Intradiscal failure pressure was found to be 18 ± 3 MPa. Later, Veres et al. (2010), using the same technique performed by Schectman et al. (2006) to investigate the role of high IDP on annular fibers disruption in ovine lumbar IVDs reported the mean failure pressure of 14.1 ± 3.9. According with the latest developments about the failure pressure of disc, it would be expectable a higher value for the rupture of porcine discs, as the magnitudes presented by these authors are decidedly superior from those documented in this work.

The present results are within the same magnitude of values documented in two works performed with human lumbar (Iencean, 2000) and cervical IVDs (Menkowitz et al., 2005).
caudal bovine samples presents a nearly cylindrical shape (Schechtman et al., 2006), neglecting the possible existence of critical point of the hydrostatic pressures distribution in the IVD. This misassumption could lead to divergent values concerning to which is expected for human discs. Thus, the present work was conducted with lumbar porcine samples since they were considered was geometrically and morphologically more similar to the human ones, and so, a more suitable choice for mechanical studies on the IVD (Alini et al., 2008). Moreover, the different techniques of measure the failure pressure may compromise the reliability of the results. Several approaches were analyse and their weaknesses should not be neglected when a comparison is made.

This work itself present some limitations as the cadavercic study was limited by sample size or the criteria defined for MS failure. In fact, in this study the real values of rupture could be hidden by the flood of glycerin from the screwed vertebra. However, the samples where the rupture had only occurred in the screwed vertebra were discarded and, for the quantitative analysis, only the samples with an additional point of rupture were considered. Moreover, it does not contemplate a microstructural analysis of the failure mechanisms. The rupture occurring in the cited articles neglected the shape differences in samples, in what concerns to the critical points of failure, that are different between specimens. For a complete understanding of the process of IVD failure in compression, the phenomena occurring on annular region and on the annular wall-endplate must be assessed, as the latter represent a typical critical point for disc rupture in compression (Adams, 2002; Schechtman et al., 2006). In addition, the data provided on this report is a result of an inflation after pre-defined compression of the IVD. Another types of efforts and loadings, such as flexion or rotation must be, together with disc inflation, must be considered for the rupture analysis.

5 Conclusions

In this study, the values of IDP that leads to disc rupture were determined by inflating porcine IVDs with glycerin. The experimental findings provide new insights about the mechanisms of disc failure, bringing an important addition for the validation of the constitutive models as well as to stimulate the development of more reliable solutions to replace the IVD. The main finding of this approach is that the failure could occur for a magnitude of IDP that could be found during daily activities. In light of this date, it can be concluded that the critical IDP pressure is an very specific characteristic, probably depending on compositional factors and a range of features related with specimens particularities. Moreover, this set of new experimental data should be considered on the design of more efficient solutions for the nucleus pulposus replacement, as the maintenance of a prescribed hydrostatic pressure in the inner walls of the AF is essential for keep the physiological conditions of the IVD.

However, care must be taken on the extrapolation of these results to the failure IDP in human samples. Upcoming studies should include numerical or optimization methods, in order to monitoring the factors that could induce divergences in the results, helping to clarify the magnitude of the annular failure strength in the human discs.
Appendix A: Acronyms list

Table A1. List of acronyms.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AF</td>
<td>Annulus Fibrosus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEP</td>
<td>Cartilaginous Endplate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IVD</td>
<td>Intervertebral Disc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDP</td>
<td>Intradiscal Pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS</td>
<td>Motion Segment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP</td>
<td>Nucleus Pulposus</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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